



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,258	03/02/2004	Yong Su Kim	IPS-0022	9807
34610 KED & ASSO(7590 09/05/200 CIATES, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 22120	00	POLLACK, MELVIN H		
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/790,258	KIM, YONG SU			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Melvin H. Pollack	2145			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears the plant of the plant	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICH - Extensi after SI If NO pr - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	desponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ma</u>	arch 2004.				
2a) 🗌 T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
С	losed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw claim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		,			
Application	n Papers					
9)□ Th 10)፟☑ Th A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner ne drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are: a pplicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine oath or declaration is objected to by	(a) accepted or (b) objected to display accepted or (b) objected to display accepted if the (b) objected if the drawing (b) is objected if the drawing (b) is objected if the drawing (b)	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Ao a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Nôtice of Informal Pa 6) Other: <u>see attached</u>	te atent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/790,258

Art Unit: 2145

2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter et al. (6,363,422) in view of Ewing et al. (7,099,934).
- 3. Hunter discloses a method and system (abstract) of monitoring and storing state information in a home network (col. 1, line 1 col. 5, line 1). Specifically, a slave (client) communicates with external appliances (infrastructure equipment) via RS-232 (col. 5, line 55 col. 6, line 5; col. 7, line 25) and/or power-line modems (col. 2, lines 10-50; col. 9, lines 1-5), and the slave further communicates with a master (server) to provide state information (col. 5, line 1 col. 6, line 40), which is then stored in case of a boot-up or power loss issue (col. 10, lines 30-45) and performing recovery tasks (col. 12, lines 45-65).
- 4. Hunter does not expressly disclose providing the state information to the slave when the slave is reset. Ewing teaches a method and system (abstract) of handling reboot appliances (col. 1, line 1 col. 5, line 55) in a networking environment (col. 5, line 55 col. 8, line 25). Specifically, a database of status and configuration information is maintained in case of a system lockup (col. 8, line 25 col. 9, line 5), gathered via monitoring by RS-232C (col. 9, line 45 col. 10, line 25), and used for rebooting processes (col. 12, line 50 col. 13, line 15; col. 26, line 30 –

Art Unit: 2145

col. 28, line 35). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have added Ewing to Hunter in order to fix device failures and avoid down-time (col. 2, lines 30-45).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. They regard further teachings on home area networks, power-line networks, remote backups and serial connections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin H. Pollack whose telephone number is (571) 272-3887. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571) 272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melvin H Pollack Examiner Art Unit 2145 Application/Control Number: 10/790,258

Art Unit: 2145

MHP

30 August 2007

Page 4

Mil H. Pollel